



TITLE:

# Efficient algorithms for Disjoint Paths in Hypercubes and Star Networks

AUTHOR(S):

Gu, Qian Ping; Okawa, Satoshi; Peng, Shietung

---

CITATION:

Gu, Qian Ping ...[et al]. Efficient algorithms for Disjoint Paths in Hypercubes and Star Networks. 数理解析研究所講究録 1994, 871: 105-111

ISSUE DATE:

1994-05

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/84049>

RIGHT:

## Efficient Algorithms for Disjoint Paths in Hypercubes and Star Networks

Qian Ping Gu, Satoshi Okawa (大川 知), and Shietung Peng

{qian/okawa/s-peng}@u-aizu.ac.jp

Department of Computer Software

The University of Aizu

Aizu-Wakamatsu Fukushima, 965-80 Japan

**Abstract:** Recently, graph parameters such as the number of disjoint paths between a pair of nodes (or between a node and a set of nodes and so on) and the length of these paths have been studied extensively due to their relations to (applications in) fault tolerance and transmission delay in communication networks. We give efficient algorithms for node disjoint path problems in hypercubes, star graphs, and incomplete star graphs which are defined to reduce the large gaps in the size of systems based on star graph topologies. Four disjoint path paradigms are discussed: (1) disjoint paths between a pair of nodes  $s$  and  $t$ , (2) disjoint paths from a node  $s$  to a set  $T$  of nodes, (3) disjoint paths from a set  $S$  of nodes to a set  $T$  of nodes, and (4) disjoint paths between node pairs  $(s_i, t_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . We give algorithms for paradigms (3) and (4) in hypercubes and algorithms for all the paradigms in star graphs and incomplete star graphs. Our algorithms can find the maximum number of disjoint paths for these paradigms in optimal time. For an  $n$ -dimensional hypercube  $H_n$ , the length of the disjoint paths given by our algorithms is at most  $2d(H_n) - 2 = 2n - 2$ , where  $d(G)$  is the diameter of the graph  $G$ . For an  $n$ -dimensional star graph  $G_n$  and an incomplete star graph  $G_{n,m}$ , the length of the disjoint paths constructed by our algorithms is at most  $d(G_n) + c$  and  $d(G_{n,m}) + c$ , respectively, where  $c$  is a small constant.

### Introduction

In the design and implementation of a large multiprocessor system, one of the key and most fundamental issues is the design of the interconnection network through which the processors can communicate efficiently. Taking the cost, reliability, fault tolerance, and transmission delay into consideration, a good interconnection network should be a sparse and homogeneous graph with connectivity as large as possible and diameter as small as possible. With the development of VLSI and fiber optics technologies, the size of multiprocessor system is increasing tremendously. The fault tolerance communication has become one of the central issues in today's multiprocessors system. Recently, some new parameters (will be given in the next section) which concerns a collection of disjoint paths (in this paper, disjoint path refers *node disjoint path* unless otherwise mentioned) between a pair of nodes (or between a node and a set of nodes, and so on) have been introduced to evaluate the fault tolerance properties of interconnection networks [10, 18]. Much work has been done on finding the disjoint paths in general graphs as well as some special classes of interconnection networks [10, 9, 18, 4, 13]. In this paper, disjoint paths problems in hypercube, star graph, and incomplete star graph interconnection networks are considered.

Hypercubes and star graphs are interesting interconnection topologies for multiprocessor system [20, 2, 1, 19]. They possess rich recursive structure and symmetry properties as well as many desirable fault tolerance characteristics. In addition, with regard to the important properties of degree and diameter, the star graph is shown to be markedly superior. A problem with the star graph topology is that the number of nodes in a system must be the factorial of an integer. In practical terms, this is a severe restriction on the sizes of systems that can be built; there is a large gap between the numbers  $(n-1)!$  and  $n!$ . This restriction can be overcome partially by introducing *incomplete star graph*. An incomplete star graph is a star graph missing certain of its substars. Since an  $n$ -dimensional star graph  $G_n$  has  $n(n-1)$ -dimensional substars, there are  $n$  possible  $n$ -dimensional incomplete star graphs  $G_{n,m}$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq n$ , each of them is made of  $m(n-1)$ -dimensional substars and has  $m(n-1)!$  nodes.<sup>1</sup> In this paper, we present efficient algorithms for hypercubes, star graphs, and incomplete star graphs for the following disjoint path paradigms:

1. Disjoint paths between a pair of distinct nodes  $s$  and  $t$ ;
2. Disjoint paths between a node  $s$  and a set of distinct nodes  $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k\}$ ;
3. Disjoint paths between a set of distinct nodes  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$  and a set of distinct nodes  $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k\}$ ; and
4. Disjoint paths between mutually distinct node pairs  $(s_1, t_1), (s_2, t_2), \dots, (s_k, t_k)$ .

The above paradigms have attracted much attentions in both mathematical terms and interconnection network studies [4, 3, 10, 9, 18, 13]. By Menger's theorem, there are  $k$  disjoint paths in paradigms (1), (2), and (3) in a  $k$ -connected graph [16].  $(2k-1)$  connectivity is a necessary condition for a graph to have  $k$  disjoint paths in paradigm (4) [21]. In network studies, much effort has also been put on algorithms for finding the disjoint paths and the upper bound on the length of the paths [10, 9, 18, 4, 13]. It has been shown that finding the disjoint paths with the optimal length in paradigms (1) or (2) is  $NP$ -hard in general graphs [8, 11, 14]. For paradigm (4), even determining whether there exist  $k$  disjoint paths is an  $NP$ -complete problem [12]. Polynomial time algorithms for paradigm (4) have been found for very limited classes of graphs such as hypercubes [15] and star graphs [4]. To our best knowledge, not much work has been done for paradigm (3), though it has practical applications in random routing and so on. For  $n$ -dimensional hypercubes  $H_n$  (which is  $n$ -connected and has diameter  $d(H_n) = n$ ), it was proved that  $n$  disjoint paths of length at most  $d(H_n) + 1 = n + 1$  for paradigm (1) [19],  $n$  disjoint paths of length at most  $n + 1$  for paradigm (2) [18], and  $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$  disjoint path of length at most  $2n - 2$  for paradigm (4) [15] can be found in  $O(n^2)$ ,  $O(n^2)$ , and  $O(n^3 \log n)$  time, respectively. For  $n$ -dimensional complete star graphs, (which is  $(n-1)$ -connected and has diameter  $d(G_n) = \lfloor \frac{3(n-1)}{2} \rfloor$ ), it was shown that  $n-1$  disjoint paths of length at most  $d(G_n) + 1$  for paradigm (1) can be found in  $O(n^2)$  time [13],  $n-1$  disjoint paths of length at most  $5n - 2$  for paradigm (2) can be found in  $O(n^2)$  time [4], and  $k \leq \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil$  disjoint paths of length at most  $4(n-2)$  for paradigm (4) can be found in  $O(n^4 \log n)$  time [4].

<sup>1</sup>An incomplete star graph of an arbitrary size can be defined by using incomplete substars. In this paper, however, we only use complete substars to make an incomplete star graph.

We give an algorithm which finds  $n$  disjoint paths of length at most  $2n - 2$  for paradigm (3) in  $n$ -dimensional hypercubes  $H_n$  in  $O(n^2)$  optimal time. For paradigm (4) in  $H_n$ , we give an algorithm which finds  $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$  disjoint paths of length at most  $2n - 2$  in  $O(n^2)$  time. Our result improves significantly the previous result in time complexity. For  $n$ -dimensional star graphs  $G_n$ , we give four algorithms which find, in  $O(n^2)$  optimal time,  $n - 1$  disjoint paths of length at most  $d(G_n) + 4$  for paradigm (1),  $n - 1$  disjoint paths of length at most  $d(G_n) + 4$  for paradigm (2),  $n - 1$  disjoint paths of length at most  $d(G_n) + 5$  for paradigm (3), and  $\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil$  disjoint paths of length at most  $d(G_n) + 5$  for paradigm (4). Our algorithm for paradigm (2) in star graph  $G_n$  improves previous result significantly in the length of the constructed paths and our algorithm for paradigm (4) improves the previous result significantly in the length of the found path as well as in the run time. For  $n$ -dimensional incomplete star graph  $G_{n,m}$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$ , we give four algorithms which find, in  $O(n^2)$  optimal time,  $n - 2$  disjoint paths of length at most  $d(G_{n,m}) + 4$ ,  $n - 2$  disjoint paths of length at most  $d(G_{n,m}) + 4$ ,  $n - 2$  disjoint paths of length at most  $d(G_{n,m}) + 5$ , and  $\lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \rceil$  disjoint paths of length at most  $d(G_{n,m}) + 5$ , for paradigms (1), (2), (3), and (4), respectively. To our best knowledge, our algorithms for paradigm (3) in hypercubes  $H_n$  and star graphs  $G_n$  and the algorithms for incomplete star graphs  $G_{n,m}$  are the first algorithms for these problems. Compared with the result of [13], our algorithm for paradigm (1) in star graph  $G_n$  has some advantages in practical applications. Our algorithm can find a fault-free routing path of length at most  $d(G_n) + 4$  between  $s$  and  $t$  in linear time when at most  $n - 2$  arbitrary nodes (does not include  $s$  and  $t$ ) failed in  $G_n$ . While it takes  $O(n^2)$  time to solve the same problem by the result in [13]. Our algorithm for paradigm (1) in incomplete star graph  $G_{n,m}$  in fact finds  $\min\{deg(s), deg(t)\} \geq n - 2$  disjoint paths. And thus it shows that  $deg(s) = n - 1$  and  $deg(t) = n - 1$  is a sufficient condition of having  $n - 1$  disjoint paths between  $s$  and  $t$  in  $G_{n,m}$  (which is  $(n - 2)$ -connected). For paradigm (2) in  $G_{n,m}$ , we give a necessary and sufficient condition of having  $n - 1$  disjoint paths between  $s$  and  $n - 1$  distinct nodes  $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1}\}$  in  $G_{n,m}$  and give an algorithm which finds  $n - 1$  disjoint paths of length at most  $d(G_{n,m}) + 6$  in  $O(n^2)$  optimal time.

In the next section, we introduce some new graph parameters, give the definition of hypercubes, star graphs, and incomplete star graphs, and state our results.

## Definitions and Results

The following two parameters for disjoint path paradigms (1) and (2) were defined to evaluate the fault tolerance and transmission delay in interconnection networks.

- Given two positive integers,  $w$  and  $l$ , define  $A(w, l)$  to be the class of graphs  $G$  so that for any distinct nodes  $s$  and  $t$  in  $G$ , there exist  $w$  node disjoint paths of length at most  $l$  between  $s$  and  $t$ . For a  $k$ -connected graph  $G$ , the  $k$ -diameter,  $d_k(G)$ , is defined to be the minimum  $l$  so that  $G \in A(k, l)$  [10].
- Similarly, let  $B(w, l)$  be the class of graphs  $G$  so that for any  $s$  and distinct  $t_1, \dots, t_w$  in  $G$ , there exist  $w$  disjoint paths from  $s$  to  $t_1, \dots, t_w$  of length at most  $l$ , and for a  $k$ -connected graph  $G$ , the Rabin number,  $r_k(G)$ , is the minimum  $l$  so that  $G \in B(k, l)$  [18].

Similar to the  $k$ -diameter and Rabin number, we define other two parameters for the

disjoint path paradigms (3) and (4) in this paper.

- Let  $C(w, l)$  be the class of graphs  $G$  so that for any distinct nodes  $s_1, \dots, s_w$  and  $t_1, \dots, t_w$  in  $G$ , there exist  $w$  disjoint paths of length at most  $l$  between the nodes of  $s_i$ 's and the nodes of  $t_j$ 's,  $1 \leq i, j \leq w$ , and for a  $k$ -connected graph  $G$ , the  $k$ -set-routing number,  $s_k(G)$ , is the minimum  $l$  so that  $G \in C(k, l)$ .
- Let  $D(w, l)$  be the class of graphs  $G$  so that for  $w$  distinct node pairs  $(s_1, t_1), \dots, (s_w, t_w)$  in  $G$ , there exist  $w$  disjoint paths of length at most  $l$  between  $s_i$  and  $t_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq w$ , and for a  $n$ -connected graph ( $n \geq 2k - 1$ ), the  $k$ -pairwise-routing number  $p_k(G)$  is the minimum  $l$  so that  $G \in D(k, l)$ .

An  $n$ -dimensional hypercube is a graph  $H_n$ , where the nodes of  $H_n$  are in 1-1 correspondence with the  $n$ -bit binary sequences  $(a_1 \dots a_n)$ , and two nodes  $(a_1 \dots a_n)$  and  $(a'_1 \dots a'_n)$  are connected by an edge if and only if these sequences differ in exactly one bit. There are  $2^n$  nodes and  $n2^{n-1}$  edges in an  $n$ -dimensional hypercube  $H_n$ .  $H_n$  has uniform node degree  $n$ , diameter  $d(H_n) = n$ , and  $H_n$  is  $n$ -connected. A hypercube is node and edge symmetric and it has a recursive structure ( $H_n$  is made of two copies of  $H_{n-1}$ ).

Let  $\langle n \rangle = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . The nodes of an  $n$ -dimensional star graph  $G_n$  are in a 1-1 correspondence with the permutations  $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$  of  $\langle n \rangle$ . Each node is identified by a permutation of  $\langle n \rangle$ . Two nodes of  $G_n$  are connected by an edge if and only if the permutation of one node can be obtained from the other by interchanging the first symbol  $p_1$  with the  $i$ th symbol  $p_i$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq n$ . There are  $n!$  nodes and  $n! \times \frac{(n-1)}{2}$  edges in an  $n$ -dimensional star graph  $G_n$ .  $G_n$  has uniform node degree  $n - 1$ , diameter  $d(G_n) = \lfloor \frac{3(n-1)}{2} \rfloor$ , and  $G_n$  is  $(n - 1)$ -connected.  $G_n$  is node and edge symmetric. Star graphs have a highly recursive structure.  $G_n$  is made of  $n$  copies of  $G_{n-1}$ . Consider the partition of nodes of  $G_n$  into  $n$  mutually disjoint subsets  $S_n(k)$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , where

$$S_n(k) = \{(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1}, k) | p_j \in \langle n \rangle - \{k\} \text{ for } j \neq n, p_j \neq p_i \text{ for } j \neq i\}.$$

In  $G_n$ , the induced subgraphs of the set  $S_n(k)$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , is each an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional star graph denoted as  $G_n(k)$ . Figure 1 gives  $H_4$  and  $G_4$ .

An incomplete  $n$ -dimensional star graph  $G_{n,m}$  is a graph that is made of  $m$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq n$ , copies of  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional star graphs. More precisely, for  $1 \leq m \leq n$ , the incomplete star graph  $G_{n,m} = (S_{n,m}, E_{n,m})$  is defined as,

$$S_{n,m} = \{(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) | p_n \in \langle m \rangle, p_i \in \langle n \rangle - \{p_n\} \text{ for } i \neq n, p_i \neq p_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}$$

and

$$E_{n,m} = \{((p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n), (p_i, p_2, \dots, p_{i-1}, p_1, p_{i+1}, \dots, p_n)) | (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in S_{n,m}, (p_i, p_2, \dots, p_{i-1}, p_1, p_{i+1}, \dots, p_n) \in S_{n,m}, \text{ and } 2 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

That is,  $G_{n,m}$  is the graph which is made of the substars  $G_n(1), \dots, G_n(m)$  of  $G_n$  and the edges between every pair of these substars.  $G_{n,1} = G_{n-1}$ ,  $G_{n,n} = G_n$ , and  $G_{n,m}$  is  $(n - 2)$ -connected for  $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$ . There are  $m(n - 1)!$  nodes and  $m(n - 1)! \times \frac{n-2}{2} + (n - 2)! \times \frac{m(m-1)}{2}$  edges.

edges in  $G_{n,m}$ .  $G_{n,m}$  has diameter  $d(G_{n,m}) = d(G_n)$ , for  $2 \leq m \leq n$ . For a node  $s = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in G_{n,m}$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq n-1$ , either  $\deg(s) = n-2$  or  $\deg(s) = n-1$ .

Using the notations introduced at the beginning of this section, the previous results and our results for paradigms (1)-(4) are stated as follows.

Previous results:

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(H_n) &= d(H_n) + 1 \text{ (time } O(n^2)) \text{ [19],} \\ r_n(H_n) &= d(H_n) + 1 \text{ (time } O(n^2)) \text{ [18],} \\ p_n(H_n) &\leq 2d(H_n) - 2 \text{ (time } O(n^3 \log n)) \text{ [15],} \\ d_{n-1}(G_n) &= d(G_n) + 1 \text{ (time } O(n^2)) \text{ [13],} \\ r_{n-1}(G_n) &\leq 5n - 2 \text{ (time } O(n^2)) \text{ [4], and} \\ p_{n-1}(G_n) &\leq 4(n-2) \text{ (time } O(n^4 \log n)) \text{ [4].} \end{aligned}$$

Results of this paper (details can be found in [5, 6, 17, 7]):

$$\begin{aligned} s_n(H_n) &\leq 2n - 2 \text{ (time } O(n^2) \text{ and no previous result known) [17],} \\ p_n(H_n) &\leq 2n - 2 \text{ (time } O(n^2 \log n)) \text{ [7],} \\ d_{n-1}(G_n) &\leq d(G_n) + 4 \text{ (time } O(n^2) \text{ and advantages in practical applications) [5],} \\ r_{n-1}(G_n) &\leq d(G_n) + 4 \text{ (time } O(n^2)) \text{ [5],} \\ s_{n-1}(G_n) &\leq d(G_n) + 5 \text{ (time } O(n^2) \text{ and no previous result known) [17],} \\ p_{n-1}(G_n) &\leq d(G_n) + 5 \text{ (time } O(n^2)) \text{ [5],} \\ d_{n-2}(G_{n,m}) &\leq d(G_{n,m}) + 4 \text{ (time } O(n^2) \text{ and no previous result known) [6],} \\ r_{n-2}(G_{n,m}) &\leq d(G_{n,m}) + 4 \text{ (time } O(n^2) \text{ and no previous result known) [6],} \\ s_{n-2}(G_{n,m}) &\leq d(G_{n,m}) + 5 \text{ (time } O(n^2) \text{ and no previous result known) [6], and} \\ p_{n-2}(G_{n,m}) &\leq d(G_{n,m}) + 5 \text{ (time } O(n^2) \text{ and no previous result known) [6].} \end{aligned}$$

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank D. Frank Hsu of Fordham University and Z. Cheng, D. Wei, and S. Yukita of The University of Aizu for their invaluable discussions and suggestions. This research was partially supported by the Founding of Group Research Projects at The University of Aizu.

## References

- [1] S. B. Akers, D. Harel, and B. Krishnamurthy. The star graph: an attractive alternative to the  $n$ -cube. In *Proc. International Conference on Parallel Processing*, pages 393–400, 1987.
- [2] S. B. Akers and B. Krishnamurthy. A group theoretic model for symmetric interconnection networks. *IEEE Trans. on Computers*, pages 555–566, 1989.
- [3] J. C. Bermond. Interconnection networks. *Discrete Math.*, 1992.

- [4] M. Dietzfelbinger, S. Madhavapeddy, and I. H. Sudborough. Three disjoint path paradigms in star networks. In *Proc. IEEE Symposium on Parallel and Distributed Processing*, pages 400–406, 1991.
- [5] Q. Gu and S. Peng. Efficient algorithms for disjoint paths in star graphs. Technical Report 93-1-015, Univ. of Aizu, Japan, Aizuwakamatsu, Fukushima, 965-80, Japan, 1993. To appear in 6th International Transputer/Occam Conference.
- [6] Q. Gu and S. Peng. Disjoint path paradigms in incomplete star networks. Technical Report 94-1-003, Univ. of Aizu, Japan, Aizuwakamatsu, Fukushima, 965-80, Japan, 1994.
- [7] Q. Gu and S. Peng.  $k$ -pairwise cluster fault tolerant routing in hypercubes and star graphs. Technical Report 94-1-021, Univ. of Aizu, Japan, Aizuwakamatsu, Fukushima, 965-80, Japan, 1994.
- [8] D. F. Hsu. A graph theoretical study of transmission delay and fault tolerance. In *Proc. 4th International Conference on Parallel and Distributed Computing and Systems*, pages 20–24, 1991.
- [9] D. F. Hsu. Interconnection networks and algorithms. *Networks*, 1993.
- [10] D. F. Hsu. On container with width and length in graphs, groups, and networks. *IEICE Trans. on Fundamental of Electronics, Information, and Computer Sciences*, To appear in April, 1994.
- [11] A. Itai, Y. Perl, and Y. Shiloach. The complexity of finding maximum disjoint path with length constraints. *Networks*, pages 277–286, 1982.
- [12] R. M. Karp. On the computational complexity of combinatorial problems. *Networks*, pages 45–68.
- [13] S. Latifi. On the fault-diameter of the star graph. *Information Processing Letters*, pages 143–150, 1993.
- [14] C-L. Li, T. McCormick, and D. Simchi-Levi. The complexity of finding two disjoint paths with min-max objective function. *Discrete Applied Math.*, pages 105–115, 1990.
- [15] S. Madhavapeddy and I. H. Sudborough. A topological property of hypercubes: Node disjoint paths. In *Proc. 2nd IEEE Symp. on Parallel and Distributed Processing*, pages 532–539, 1990.
- [16] J. McHugh. *Algorithmic Graph Theory*. Prentice-Hall Inc., 1990.
- [17] S. Peng, Q. Gu, and S. Okawa. Set-to-set routing in hypercubes and star graphs. Technical Report 94-1-002, Univ. of Aizu, Japan, Aizuwakamatsu, Fukushima, 965-80, Japan, 1994. To appear in JSPP'94.
- [18] M. A. Rabin. Efficient dispersal of information for security, load balancing, and fault tolerance. *J. ACM*, pages 335–348, 1989.
- [19] Y. Saad and M. H. Shultz. Topological properties of hypercubes. *IEEE Trans. on Computers*, pages 867–872, 1988.
- [20] C. L. Seitz. The cosmic cube. *Communication of ACM*, pages 22–33, 1985.
- [21] M. Watkin. On the existence of certain disjoint arcs in graphs. *Duke Math. Journal*, 1968.





Figure 1: Hypercube  $H_4$  and Star Graph  $G_4$